



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/868,264	12/14/2001		Wolfgang Ries	2345/157	8482	
26646	7590	08/11/2004		EXAMI	EXAMINER	
KENYON		ON	DINH, KHANH Q			
ONE BROADWAY NEW YORK, NY 10004				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	•			2151	-7	
				DATE MAILED: 08/11/2004	/	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



				Λ
(		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
•	Office Action Summany	09/868,264	RIES ET AL.	- gr
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	V
	CLASSIANO DATE CALL	Khanh Dinh	2151	<u> </u>
Period for F	The MAILING DATE of this communication app Reply	ears on the cover she	et with the correspondence add	iress
THE MA - Extension after SIX - If the per - If NO per - Failure to Any reply	TENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY ILLING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. In softime may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It is it i	6(a). In no event, however, m within the statutory minimum ill apply and will expire SIX (6) cause the application to beco	ay a reply be timely filed of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. MONTHS from the mailing date of this cor me ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
2a)∐ Th 3)∐ Si	esponsive to communication(s) filed on <u>14 De</u> nis action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This note this application is in condition for allowant assed in accordance with the practice under Expression is the practic	action is non-final.	•	merits is
Disposition	of Claims			
4a) 5)□ Cl 6)⊠ Cl 7)□ Cl	aim(s) <u>5-8</u> is/are pending in the application.  Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw aim(s) is/are allowed.  aim(s) <u>5-8</u> is/are rejected.  aim(s) is/are objected to.  aim(s) are subject to restriction and/or			
Application	Papers			
10)⊠ The Ap Re	e specification is objected to by the Examiner of drawing(s) filed on <a href="12/14/2001">12/14/2001</a> is/are: a) plicant may not request that any objection to the objectment drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the order of declaration is objected to by the Examinary in the examinary of the examinar	accepted or b) obj lrawing(s) be held in ab on is required if the draw	eyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). wing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFI	• •
Priority und	er 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12)⊠ Acl a)⊠ / 1.[ 2.[ 3.[	knowledgment is made of a claim for foreign   All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received, have been received ty documents have b (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	in Application No een received in this National S	Stage
2) Notice of 3) Information	References Cited (PTO-892)  Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) on Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) o(s)/Mail Date	Paper	iew Summary (PTO-413) No(s)/Mail Date e of Informal Patent Application (PTO-	152)

Art Unit: 2151

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This is in response to the Preliminary Amendment (paper # 6) filed on 12/14/2001. Claims 1-4 are cancelled. New claims 5-8 are presented for examination.

## **Priority**

2. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in thisOffice action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 4. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Bencheck et al. (hereafter Bencheck), US pat. No.6,072,777.

As to claim 1, Bencheck discloses a device for controlling a telecommunications system between a plurality of networks [each network element (each network element (151-156 of fig.1) is dedicated to a specified networks as: DS1, DS3,

Art Unit: 2151

VT-n traffic, see col.4 line 56 to col.5 line 17], each network of the plurality of networks being designed for services or parts of services (providing network services including network domains, see col. 5 lines 46-55), comprising:

At least on network management device (network element 151 fig.1)

At least one service management device (element manager 141 fig.1) and at least one domain manager (network manager 131 fig.1) (see fig.1, col.4 lines 24-55).

Wherein the at least one domain manager (131 fig.1) has access to a selected network management device (using network manager to provide services to network elements, see col.4 line 56 to col.5 line 17).

Wherein the at least one service management device is selected, and the at least one domain manager is linkable to the selected at least one service management device (implementing Network managers for setting up connections to network elements through element managers, see col.5 lines 18-34).

Wherein the at least one network management device (151 fig.1) is assigned to each network of the plurality of networks (each network element is dedicated to a specified networks as: DS1, DS#, VT-n traffic, see col.4 line 56 to col.5 line 17) network and the at least network management device being controllable by the at least one service management device (141 fig.1) (using element manager to identify the root cause of network problems, see col. 5 lines 35-67).

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/868,264

**Art Unit: 2151** 

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 7. Claims 6-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bencheck in view of Dahod et al. (hereafter Dahod), US pat. No.5,682,383.

As to claim 6, Bencheck's teachings still applied as in item 4 above. Bencheck does not specifically disclose using a controllable matrix to link network devices. However, Dahod discloses a controllable matrix [a reconfigurable electronic switch matrix (200 fig.3) to provide connections between network devices, see

Art Unit: 2151

fig.3, col. 4 lines 1-62]. It would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to implement Dahod's matrix into the computer system of Bencheck to connect one or more internetworking devices because it would have combined different ones of user groups into Ethernet segments and provided unique switch matrix ports assigned to bridges, routers, sniffers or other internetwork connection devices and thus all collision domains can access these devices (see Dahod's col.3 lines 28-43 and col.4 lines 49-62).

As to claim 7, Bencheck's teachings still applied as in item 3 above. Bencheck does not specifically disclose using a controllable matrix to be controlled in conformance with an end-to-end connection. However, Dahod discloses a controllable matrix to be controlled in conformance with an end-to-end connection [a reconfigurable electronic switch matrix (200 fig.3) to provide connections between network devices, see fig.3, col. 4 lines 1-62]. It would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to implement Dahod's matrix into the computer system of Bencheck to connect one or more internetworking devices because it would have combined different ones of user groups into Ethernet segments and provided unique switch matrix ports assigned to bridges, routers, sniffers or other internetwork connection devices and thus all collision domains can access these devices (see Dahod's col.3 lines 28-43 and col.4 lines 49-62).

Art Unit: 2151

As to claim 8, Bencheck's teachings still applied as in item 3 above. Bencheck further discloses a customer network management device (network element 152 fig.1). Bencheck does not specifically disclose using a controllable matrix to connect the network device. However, Dahod discloses a controllable matrix to connect the network device [using a reconfigurable electronic switch matrix (200 fig.3) to provide connections between network devices, see fig.3, col. 4 lines 1-62]. It would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to implement Dahod's matrix into the computer system of Bencheck to connect one or more internetworking devices because it would have combined different ones of user groups into Ethernet segments and provided unique switch matrix ports assigned to bridges, routers, sniffers or other internetwork connection devices and thus all collision domains can access these devices (see Dahod's col.3 lines 28-43 and col.4 lines 49-62).

### Other prior art cited

- 8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- a. McKay et al, US pat. No.4,893,307: Method of providing operation capabilities of SNA data communications for host-to-remote sessions.
- b. Lewis, US pat. No.5,768,501: Providing alarm correlation among a plurality of network domains in a communications network.
- c. Brownmiller et al, US pat. No.5,778,184: System for analyzing the performance of the network.

Art Unit: 2151

d. Takahashi et al., US pat. No.5,968,124: Method of controlling transmission and reception of information concerning network operations.

- e. Taghadoss, US pat. No.6,052,722: Management of network resources using distributed intelligence and state management.
- f. Gonda et al., US pat. No.6,212,530: Method of automated configuration management of one or more virtual private network.

# Conclusion

- 9. Claims 5-8 are rejected.
- 10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Khanh Dinh whose telephone number is (703) 308-8528. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:00 A.m. to 5:00 P.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Zarni Maung, can be reached on (703) 308-6687. The fax phone number for this group is (703) 872-9306.

A shortened statutory period for reply is set to expire THREE months from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to response within the period for response will cause the application to become abandoned (35 U. S. C. Sect. 133). Extensions of time may be obtained under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(A).

Art Unit: 2151

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305 -9600.

Khanh Dinh

Patent Examiner

Art Unit 2151

8/6/2004